

10th Meeting Minutes
MRV/REL Technical Team
 Date: 20 May 2014
 Time: 8:00 AM- 11:00 PM
 Venue: 3rd Floor (big meeting hall), FA

Participants	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr. Leng Chivin, DD-DFC/FA 2. Mrs. Hout Naborey, DFC/FA 3. Mr. Chhun Delux, FA 4. Mr. Uy Kamal, DD-GDACP/MoE 5. Mr. Sokha Sophorn, DD-GDACP/MoE 6. Mr. Seng Leang, FiA 7. Mr. Nok Ven, IPs, CIYA 8. Mr. Mathieu Van Rijn, Forestry Officer, FAO 9. Ms. Seangly Kheang, National Programme Assistant, FAO (Minute taker) 	
Meeting Objective	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- To discuss and receive feedback on the institutional arrangements and capacity needs assessment survey plus the concept note. 2- To prepare and agree among MRV/REL TT Members on the agenda and presentations (working progress, outputs and challenges) to the upcoming Taskforce Meeting on June 6th, 2014. 	
Meeting Result/Outputs (following the agenda)	
SESSION A: Institutional arrangements and Capacity needs assessment survey	
GHGs Inventory; Institutional arrangement (ppt)., Uy Kamal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It requires all line ministries to involve in GHGs inventory => transparency, validity, accountability are better assured. - Bi-annual Update Report (BUR) (2 years) => Database system=> Data newer than GHG Inventory 2 years newer than GHG Inventory report/database. E.g GHG Inventory 2014 => BUR 2016=>2014 (2 years); CCD takes lead. - Thailand: contract with TGO (Thai Government Organization). Academic Institution, Chulalongkorn University for example. Small and focus scope is better than big one in term of working. - CCCIA (Cambodia Climate Change Institutional Arrangement): structure, roles, and responsibilities - Operational working group & its team members for GHGs inventory and mitigation.

- IPCC 2006; Agriculture Technical Working Group; LULUCFTWG: GDANCP, FA & MLUPC.
- MoE (CC report)
- Higher tier e.g. 2006 => National Forest Inventory (under preparation); REDD+ report to UNFCCC through NCCC; CCD/MoE Secretariat has an obligation to prepare GHGs inventory report and in general to prepare the National Communications (NCs) to the UNFCCC as the national level base and requirement.
- H.E Say Samal is a newly assigned Minister of the MoE and not sure if he is in a better position as REDD+ focal point to the upcoming COP 21 in Paris, France or H.E Chheng Kimsun/H.E Chea Sam Ang. This perhaps requires further discussion about this accordingly.

Q1. [Chivin] Among the 3 scenarios mentioning who should be in charge of GHGs Inventory Report (1) CCD/MoE (2) Intergovernmental ministries (3) Firm?

Suggestion: (2) or (3) would be better in undertaking this work while (1) should play a role as coordinator due to a lot of tasks required. For (3) private firm/consultant would be offered the chance to implement this.

A1. [Kamal] That may be possible, but need to further discuss.

Q2. [Chivin] What is the difference between GHGs Inventory vs. National Communication Report?

A2. [Kamal] GHGs Inventory vs. National Communication report (NC). NC is the UN given term and it refers to National Report and this covers 5 points (i) vulnerability and adaptation assessment (ii) study on GHGs mitigation (iii) capacity building and public awareness (iv) financial resource assistance (v) technology transfer. GHGs Inventory is part of the NC actually in this sense.

Q3. [Chivin] What is the difference between GHGs Inventory Vs. National Forest Inventory (NFI)?

A3. [Kamal] GHGs Inventory vs. NFI. NFI basically focuses on status of forest, carbon stock, sampling etc in Forestry Sector. NFI is complimentary data/information into GHGs inventory work and report.

Q4. [Chivin] MRV will also produce a report, so how? How about the strategy

	<p><i>MRV-GHG's? Purpose?</i></p> <p>A4. [Kamal] MRV consists of intergovernmental ministries as its TT members and those include GDANCP/MoE, FA, FiA, MLUPC, CG (plus Academic institutions (RUPP & RUA), CIYA (NGO) and FAO/ UNREDD). MRV TT is working on GHGs Inventory calculation and this work is important as complimentary work to national report to UNFCCC (Forestry Sector). The MoE is the assigned focal institution to verify the national report before submitting to the UNFCCC.</p> <p><i>Suggestion: [Chivin] It may need a deeper consideration how to make it mostly consistent between AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry, Other, Land uses) & MRV. It may require report/work guideline or template.</i></p> <p><i>Q5. [Seng Leang] BUR every 2 years while GHGs Inventory every 4 years? Any elaboration?</i></p> <p>A5. [Kamal] BUR (data, activities-GHG's mitigation, and technical assistant request). Yes, it requires 2 years as interval for BUR while 4 years for GHGs Inventory. BUR is national one (Forestry Sector) and it is what MRV is now working on.</p> <p><i>Q6. [Mathieu] Will the database be decentralized for different access?</i></p> <p>A6. [Kamal] It may need National GHGs Inventory (NGI) at least one archiving system.</p> <p>[Chivin] It is a cross-cutting issue actually. According to the official announcement from the RGC, Ministry of Land Use Planning and Construction (MLUPC) has right to prepare legend etc., and other related land information. However, it would be better to have one technical team like MRV to set up and work together to fasten the work.</p> <p>[Kamal] MRV team can identify key activity data and map out where are the available data sources and how can those data be accessed. Then identify the mechanism to collect data, for example, through MoU etc.</p> <p><i>Suggestion: [Seng Leang] Kamal, please provide the full words of any acronyms or abbreviations. Maybe as note that is okay.</i></p>
Capacity Needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There was a discussion with RUPP, Dr. Seak Sophat (currently also one

<p>Assessment Survey and Concept Note, Mathieu Van Rijn</p>	<p>MRV TT member) about the contract on facilitating the capacity needs assessment survey. This includes collecting, compiling and reporting. This is important all the MRV TT members will be also engaged in order to collect the relevant information. The questionnaire and concept are available in soft copy for information and reference.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RUPP will be contacted for further engagement and any inputs or suggestions from MRV/TT members are welcome. <p><i>Suggestion: Mathieu, the questionnaire should be well-numbering so that it is easier to follow.</i></p>
<p>SESSION B: Inputs for Taskforce Meeting (June 6th, 2014)</p>	
<p>(1) Proposal National Forest Definition (NFD) & (2) Satellite land monitoring system, Leng Chivin</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - These (1) & (2) are included in the REDD+ Taskforce meeting agenda this June 6th, 2014 as found in the component 4 (MRV) basically. This will be the 5th meeting, the Taskforce. - Ppt. will be prepared for this and any comment and suggestion are welcome. - The meeting agenda will need to be revised since there is found a few overlapping points and time set, for example, 8:40am-9:00am and 11:00am-11:15am.
<p>Draft-Update Review on Drivers of Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Cambodia (ppt.), Chhun Delux</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This study is just a pre-study and not yet up to consultation yet. It is a contracted study by FAO. The ppt. covered the following points. Soft copy of ppt. is available. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rational 2. Scope of works 3. Methodology/Approach 4. Results & Discussion 5. Recommendations and Propose next action plans - Drivers of Deforestation are National REED+ Strategy and it is the core/ heart of REDD+. Cambodia needs to have clear information and agreement. Drivers study is also part of MRV work plan and if it is not clear, for example, the forest cover change that would lead to issue with GHGs inventory. - It is clear that individual institution has claimed they have data, thus it has been no harmonized one yet among the involving stakeholders. - <p><i>Suggestion:</i></p> <p><i>[Chivin]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>You should reconsider adjusting the study title according to your study</i>

	<p><i>content and scope of study. It requires some carefulness with the terms/words use like, review, update, assess etc. At my side, terms are used perhaps different from others and your study closely links to my work.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>The study is more on project based rather than covering as national based representation.</i> - <i>In order to have national and sub national representation, the drivers study should be done also the project based site like SEIMA etc.</i> - <i>Drivers of deforestation should be carefully studied. Forest fire is one of the main drivers and here to study better it requires the satellite imagery and it is my expertise. It is essential to have clear technical interpretation with a good source quality.</i> <p><i>[Kamal]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>It would be more specific studying the indicators of each driver and how can we identify those? It also applies to drivers with same question. For example, illegal logging, ELC...etc.</i> - <i>Drivers should be clear with appropriate reflection to the national policies, rectangular strategy and other laws.</i> - <i>It is also a good idea to study as zone based (upper, lower geographically). There are differences from one area/zone to another.</i> - <i>If there are two focuses as mentioned national and sub-national then it can be a proposal to the government. In the end, they can decide as option based.</i> <p><i>[Mathieu] all the suggestions will be included in the next study.</i></p>
Next steps	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Kamal: to add note for acronyms and abbreviations used especially key terms for better catch up. ▪ The capacity needs assessment questionnaire will be sent for comments to all members. The deadline of receiving the comments is by Friday 5pm ▪ Delux: to reconsider adjusting the study entitles “Draft Update Review on Drivers of Deforestation and Forest Dgradation in Cambodia” since the key term seem not reflected the content of the study. ▪ Mathieu: to work on put the numbering on the capacity needs assessment survey questionnaire. ▪ Seng Leang: will be the alternate person (if Mr. Saret will not be available for ppt. preparation) to present the final draft MRV work plan in the Taskforce Meeting this June 06th, 2014 on behalf of MRV

	<p>TT members.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Borey: to send all the updated drafts of MRV work plan to Seng Leang for his review and ppt. preparation.▪ Seangly: to send the update contact list of MRV TT members to all the members as per request of Seng Leang.▪ Mathieu: to discuss and work further with RUPP regarding the contract to lead the capacity needs assessment survey as reviewer and compiler. Each MRV team member from different institution will be the focal point to work with for data collection and verification. [Announcement] Matieu Henry will come for training orientation and specific meetings will be done with each institution for clear direction and follow-up. The special MRV meeting will be on 1st week of June (specific date &venue: TBC).
--	---