

The UN-REDD Programme

Asia/Pacific expert consultation on FREL/FRL, 2015

Cambodia, 4-5 May, 2015

**Elements of technical assessment of REDD+
FREL/FRL: Malaysia**



REDD+ activities included and justification of why omitted activities were deemed not significant;

- Follow the National priorities
- Consistent with New Economic Model, 10th Malaysian Development Plan and Economic Transformation Programme
- Deforestation activities cannot be separated from the forest management activities
- Data is not available for State land forest



Pools and gases included and justification of why omitted pools/gases were deemed not significant;

- T1 approach for DOM and SOC was applied
- No changes in land use
- Reduced impact logging conducted
- Non CO₂ gases were not included because:
 - No fertilizer application
 - No burning or forest fires in PRF
 - No liming
 - No peat draining



Consistency of submitted FREL/FRL with national GHG inventories submitted to the UNFCCC;

- Consistent with GHG inventory for LULUCF
 - Forest land remaining forest land
- Consistent with the mitigation actions identified in NC2
 - Reducing the commercial harvest intensity
- Although, living biomass had a net sink, the emissions from commercial logging was much greater than deforestation in NC2.



- Skype sessions – 17-19 February 2015
- Additional questions 27 February, 3 March and suggestion for improvement 31 March 2015
- Generally questions asked were centered around forested area and deforestation.



- Total forested area
- Gross deforestation rates
- Additional data on illegal logging
- Fuel wood harvesting
- Gazettement and de-gazettement of PRF
- Additional information on NFMS

Suggestion:

To include all of the above



- Emissions from soil
- To include all five REDD+ activities
- Draining of forests during logging
- Forest disturbance – P&D, lightning, fires

Suggestion

- *To include T1 emissions from soil*
- *Deforestation to be included in FRL*
- *To include disturbance and fuel wood*



Other information



- Additional information on why forest areas are excluded from and included in the PRF,
- Peat swamp forest declined by half a million ha since 1990 and therefore provide information on the use of de-gazetted Peat Swamp forests and on associated emissions and removals.
- To include the restrictions on trees that can be removed



Others



- Did some big and small mistakes like:
 - Inconsistent tables
 - Migrating GPG 2003 to 2006 GL
 - BECF value
- Editorial

Observation



- TA process is satisfactory
- Good learning experience:
 - National circumstances
 - Science and methodologies
 - UNFCCC decisions



Thank You

[Enter Name]

[Enter email address]

[Enter web-site or other contact information, as desired]

